

**To the Ministry of environment and physical planning  
Office for public affairs**

**Skopje, 08.07.2008**

**Subject: Comments to the environmental impact assessment study for the Demir Kapija-Smokvica highway project**

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Please find below our comments to the environmental impact assessment study for the Demir Kapija highway project:

### **1. Air emissions and waste**

According article 8 of the Regulation of the procedure for carrying out environmental impact assessment, the environmental impact assessment study for the Demir Kapija- Smokvica highway project should contain "description of type and quantity of emissions and waste... especially air emissions, solid waste and waste waters...". The Study, in chapters V. 15. 8 and V. 15. 9 the amount of emissions or waste and waste waters are not specified (not calculated). We propose to the Project Sponsor to carry out a detailed additional assessment of the amounts and types of air emissions and waste to occur during the construction of the highway, as well as to propose sustainable measures for waste management during the construction and operation of the highway.

In chapter V. 16. 9 it is stated "still, amounts of waste in normal situations will be insignificant, so the impact on environment is also insignificant". The experiences with the construction of the Skopje Bypass highway indicate that waste generated during the construction of the highway is far from "insignificant". These are tons of waste materials and waste oils generated during the construction. The impacts from waste on the environment must not be neglected or ignored, and the environmental impact assessment study should calculate with as much preciseness the amounts of waste generated and ways of treatment and management according national legislation.

### **2. Ammendments of the study with additional information**

On many occasions, the experts have indicated in the Study a lack of information leading to inadequate assessment. As the detailed design is prepared, the Study needs to be ammended with additional information and released for public once again.

### **3. Maps**

The Study explains at the very begining that the new Alternative B is the changed alternative that shares the same route with Alternative A in the first kilometres. Regretfully, the maps published together with the Study show the old Alternative B passing near the Bela Voda cave. The Study needs to be ammended with new maps and released for public once again.

Best regards,

Ana Colovic

Center for environmental research and information  
Eco-sense  
Skopje  
Macedonia